IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 399 of 2006 (M/B)

1. Satpal Rohila s/o Sri Prabhu Dayal Rohila
2. Sri Prabhu Dayal Rohila s/o Babu Ram
3. Smt. Vimlesh Rohila w/o Sri Prabhu Dayal
4. Satnam Rohila s/o Sri Prabhu Dayal
   Petitioner no. 1 to 4 are r/o village Chandla,
   Dungarwas, Panchgav, District Gurgaon,
   Haryana.
5. Smt. Rekha w/o Sri Sanjay Kumar
6. Sanjay Kumar s/o Sri Gyan Chand
   Both are r/o 6/229, Sector 29,
   Gob No. 841/29 Laxman Vihar,
   Face – I, Gurgaon, Haryana
7. Smt. Geeta w/o Sri Sunil Kumar
   R/o 169 Dayanand Colony, Gurgaon,
   Haryana …….. Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal, through Home
   Secretary, Uttaranchal, Dehradun
2. Station House Officer,
   Police Station Dalanwala
   District Dehradun.
3. Smt. Sailaja Rohila d/o Sri Bal Ram Singh
   R/o L-J/16 MDDA Colony,
   P.S. Dalanwala, Dehradun …….. Respondents

Sri Jitendra Chaudhary, Advocate on behalf of Sri Vinod Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Sri D. K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.
Sri Kishore Kumar, Advocate on behalf of Sri Ramji Srivastava, Advocate for respondent no. 3.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C. J. (Oral)

Sri Jitendra Chaudhary, Advocate on behalf of Sri Vinod Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sri D. K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.

Sri Kishore Kumar, Advocate on behalf of Sri Ramji Srivastava, Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. Sri Sharma, the learned Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by petitioners – Satpal Rohilia, Prabhu Dayal Rohila, Smt. Vimlesh Rohila, Satnam Rohila, Smt. Rekha, Sanjay Kumar and Smt Geeta seeking quashing of the First Information Report and the case registered against them now has become infructuous as the police after completion of the investigation though did not find any case worth filing charge-sheet against petitioner no. 4 Satnam Rohila, petitioner no. 5 Smt. Rekha, Petitioner no. 6 Sanjay Kumar and petitioner no. 7 Smt. Geeta, but charge-sheet has been filed against petitioner no. 1 Satpal Rohila, petitioner no. 2 Prabhu Dayal Rohila and petitioner no. 3 Smt. Vimlesh Rohila before the concerning Court.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

4. Consequently, the interim order dated 12.04.2006 stands vacated automatically.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C. J.)**
07.07.2006 07.07.2006

A